✓
Present

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1934.
(Mazra)

File No. S I 34
Serial Nos. 3 (; 9

Later References

Rev, 117-34 1 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos

Part B for January 31

SUBJECT.

Petition of Narasa Reddy and others of Prasanna
Venkatarayanakoppalu in Gubbi Taluk -
Tumkur District

Serial No.

The D.e. Trunkm has stated that the matter is being investigated. D draft to the M.c calling for a note on the subject is put up for orders.

28/9/m. 28/9

[illegible]

Letter No. [illegible] 25.9.34 from the H.c in [illegible] submits a Note on the [illegible] called for. orders

The M.'s Note will be accepted as the Secret Note, if approd.

28/9/m.

28/9/34

II Member)

Typing Section for typing 6 copies of the Note as the Secret Note on the subject

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6-34

29 SEPT. 1934, No. 2-br-56

1 OCT. 1934 Contn. Sheet

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

R. A. Notice for taking 3 copies,
[illegible] Note [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]

This was not considered

29/10

As agreed, this will be filed as requiring no further action

30/10

30/10

The Mysore Representative Assembly

Dasara Session- October 1934.

Representation by Messrs: C.Pattabhirama Setty and two others of Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk.

Subject No.11.

Summary of Representation.- Out of the temple inam lands of Bommenahalli a hamlet of Chikkabidre village, only certain waste lands are restored to the temple of Sri Prasanna Rameswara at Chikmaikanhalli. These waste lands are in no way beneficial to the temple as they yield no revenue—not even cesses. Only a cash grant of a rupee and odd is made to the temple for daily pooja etc. It is therefore prayed that all the temple lands under cultivation may be ordered to be left for the use of the temple.

.....

Secretariat Note.

G.O. No. 489-92/Muz. 385-27-4 dated 31-8-1928 explains the facts of the case.

Out of 20 S.Nos. comprised originally in the Bommenahalli village, 9 have passed on to private holding and these could not be restored to the temple. Of the remaining eleven numbers, five were kharab and six assessed waste and these were granted for the benefit of the temple and treated as Devadaya. Subsequently, a jodi of Rs.21 was fixed on the lands granted as Devadaya Inam (vide G.O.No.748-51/Muz.127-33-2 dated 27-11-1933).

The representation cannot be considered as the remaining lands i.e., nine Survey Numbers are not in possession of Government but were disposed of long ago under Darkhast Rules.

B Rama Shama Rao

for Chief Secy to Govt

GENL SEC 311 1934

FORM I. (41)

Memorandum of Subject proposed for discussion in the.....Dasara.....

Session of the Representative Assembly of the.....Mysore.....District.

For the.....Dasara.....Session of.....October.....193 4

No. 11.

Subject Re: restoring certain inam lands to Sri Prasanna Rameswara Temple at Chikkaikanhalli.

Name of Member proposing.

Messrs:-

C. Puttachirama Setty

G. Kenchappa

Kummadi H.C. Veerabhadrappa

CHIKNAIKANHALLI TALUK.

Summary of Representation.

Out of the temple inam lands of Bommenahalli a hamlet of Chikkaikare village, only certain waste lands are restored to the temple of Sri Prasanna Rameswara at Chikkaikanhalli. These waste lands are of no way beneficial to the temple as they yield no revenue not even cesses. only a cash, fruit of a rupee and odd is paid to the temple for daily pooja etc. It is therefore prayed that all the temple lands under cultivation may be ordered to be left for the use of the temple.

Previous references relating to the subjects.

Remarks by the Deputy Commissioner.

This is beirg investigated.

WD—0534—GPB—3 000 —22-9-32

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mlcg

File No. 57-34

Serial No. 6

No. 418 Income

To

The M.C in ellg Inc

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of Subject No 11 regarding restoring certain inam lands to Sri prasanna Ramaswamy Temple at Chikkanaikanahalli proposed to be held at the ensuing session of the R. A (oct 1934) and to request you to be so good as to furnish a note on the subject before the 25th inst

I have etc

2/10/34

for chief Secy

BR

1/X

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 2-9-34

Signed by ..... M. B. Muddukrishnappa

List of enclosures .....

Copied by ..... Rau 19.9

Examined by.....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

FROM

N MADHAVA RAU, ESQ, BA, BL,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.



To



Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

..... *Department*

SIR,

[illegible] ,1934-

[illegible]

I have the honour to [illegible]

subject proposed to [illegible]

Representative Assembly, [illegible]

51-34-3 dated 19th [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

For
Absent from HQ quarters

M E M O.

Subject:- [illegible]

(Subject matter of [illegible] 1961.)

[illegible] case.

Out of [illegible] passed [illegible] temple. [illegible] Devadaya. [illegible] Devadaya Inam (vide [illegible]

[illegible] mine Survey Numbers [illegible]